Severe, sight threatening microbial keratitis: aetiology, diagnosis and critical management considerations Professor Charles NJ McGhee

To be read in conjunction with 2008 lecture

Assessing acute keratitis

Relevant History

Unilateral or bilateral Type of discharge Recurrent episodes Allergies/atopia Current Rx – including OTC Recent systemic symptoms Previous ocular surgery

Clinical assessment cont'd

Predisposing factors Lid malposition Tear film Corneal sensation Ulcer dimensions Hypopyon Perforation

Carefully document appearance on presentation and daily thereafter by a plan and profile drawing with annotations and measurements Consider slit-lamp Microphotography +/- in vivo confocal microscopy

DIAGNOSIS

Conjunctival swabs little use <u>Corneal scrape is paramount</u> *Consider PCR* Corneal biopsy Culture contact lens Culture contact lens cases

Protect your patient (and yourself) by understanding the evidence-base Levels of evidence

Evidence constantly updated: 1980's important bacterial pathogens in microbial keratitis 87% of bacterial keratitis:

Staphylococcus sp. Streptococcus sp. Pseudomonas sp. Enterobacteriaceae - proteus, klebsiella

Differential diagnosis in presumed microbial keratitis

Bacterial Viral Chlamydial Acanthamoeba Fungal Non-infective

Predisposition to severe keratitis

Dry eye Contact lens wear Blepharitis Lid malposition Corneal exposure Trauma Previous surgery Neurotrophic cornea

The evidence base:

1. Severe microbial keratitis leading to hospitalization in Western Australia

Prior ocular surgery	23*
(5* Bullous keratopathy)	
Contact lens wear	12
Lid malposition	9
Trauma	8
HSV Keratitis	7

2. Aetiology of acute keratitis: prior ocular surgery

Infectious keratitis post PRK Risk approximately 1:500 – 1:1000 Infective keratitis in 13 eyes/12 subjects Final BSCVA 20/20 – 20/200 Bacterial Keratitis: predisposing factors, clinical & microbiological review of 300 cases Bourcier T, Thomas F, Borderie et al. Br J Ophthal 2003:87;834-8

3. National Ophthalmology Centre, Paris

90.6% of 300 cases exhibited risk factors:

- 50% Contact Lens wear
- 21% Keratopathy
- 15% Trauma

4. Contact lenses and infective keratitis in the 1990's: Relative CL risk of bacterial keratitis

RGP CL annual risk is1:10,000Extended wear vs daily SCL3.9:1Overnight wear SCLx10-15 risk(Acanthamoeba < 1% of keratitis but very strongly associated with contact lenses)</td>

5. Contact-lens-related microbial keratitis & morbidity

- All ophthalmologists (440) in Netherlands
- 3 month period in 1996
- 92 cases of microbial keratitis
- 17 RGP, 63 DWSL, 12 EWSL
 - Annualized incidence:
 - RGP 1.1 per 10,000
 - DWSL 3.5 per 10,000
 - o EWSL 20 per 10,000

6. Severe microbial keratitis in a tertiary referral centre – is history useful? 88% had predisposing risk factors

Ocular surgery	30%
Contact lens wear	26%
Topical corticosteroids	25%
Ocular trauma	24%
Prior HSV	11%
Dry Eye	8%
Trichiasis/entropion	6%

7. Bacterial Keratitis: predisposing factors, clinical & microbiological review of 300

cases. Bourcier T, Thomas F, Borderie et al. Br J Ophthal 2003:87;834-8

National Ophthalmology Centre, Paris

Organisms identified in 68% of eyes

83% Gram positive

17% Gram Negative

2% Polymicrobial

8. Clinical characteristics of microbial keratitis in Taiwan: 10 yr x-sectional study 476 eyes

Organisms

Pseudomonas sp	37.7%
Fungi	13.5%
Staphylococci	8.4%
Mycobacterium (non-TB)	7.9%
Streptococci	7.6%
Acanthamoeba	4.4%

9. Clinical and Microbiological profile of infective keratitis in Switzerland Risk factors

•	Contact lens wear	36%
•	Blepharitis	21%
•	Trauma	20%
•	Xerophthalmia	15%
•	Keratopathies	8%

• Eyelid abnormalities 6%

10. Clinical and Microbiological profile of infective keratitis in Switzerland

Organisms

,		
٠	Staph epidermidis	40%
٠	Staph aureus	22%

- Strept species 13%
- Pseudomonas sp 9%

Sensitivities (resistance to drug)

- to fluoroquinolones 1-15%
- to aminoglycosides 13-22%
- to cefazolin 37%

11. Case Control Study of Microbial keratitis in Hong-Kong

223 new cases of presumed MK over 18 months

- 26% wore contact lenses
- Overall 35% of scrapes yielded +ve results
- Pseudomonas sp predominant, 5 acanthamoeba

Annual incidence of Microbial keratitis

- 0.63 per 10,000 population
- 3.40 per 10,000 CLW
- 9.30 per 10,000 EW CLW

Of 90 cultured isolates

- Gram-positive 37 (41%)
 - Staphylococcus Aureus 9
- Gram-negative 42 (47%)
 - Pseudomonas Aeruginosa 28
- Fungi 5 (5.4%)
 - Fusarium Species 3
- Protozoan 6 (6.6%)
 - Acanthamoeba Species 6

Always be prepared to reconsider the diagnosis in microbial keratitis

The varied manifestations of HSV

Punctate Dendritic Disciform Endotheliitis Stromal Geographic/amoeboid Metaherpetic/trophic

Herpes Zoster Ophthalmicus

- Ophthalmic division of trigeminal nerve
- Approximately 15% of Herpes Zoster
- Usually in elderly
- Rare under 45 but as young as 2
- Consider immuno-suppression /AIDS if two separate dermatomes involved

HZO: Ocular involvement

- Lid oedema/rash
- Conjunctivitis
- Episcleritis
- Scleritis
- Acute epithelial keratitis
- Nummular keratitis
- Disciform keratitis
- Neurotrophic keratitis
- Anterior uveitis

Adenoviral kerato-conjunctivitis

- Clinical presentation mild to severe conjunctivitis / keratitis
- Highly contagious !
- Occupational hazard of ophthalmologists & optometrists

Always Consider Global Differences

Epidemiology of suppurative Keratitis

- Multicentre study: Ghana & India 99-01
- 1090 patients recruited
- Organisms
 - Filamentous fungi (42%)
 - Fusarium and Aspergillus
 - Bacterial Organisms
 - Ghana: Pseudomonas
 - India: Streptoccoci

Consider Global Differences Fungal Keratitis

- E.g. Fusarium Species with B&L ReNu
 - Topical Rx
 - o Natamycin
 - Amphotericin
 - Systemic Rx
 - Voriconazole / itraconazole

Centres for Disease Control (CDC) Acanthamoeba update 2007

Typically AK is a rare disease - 1-2 cases/million contact lens PA in USA, based on analysis of cases identified during an outbreak of AK 1985–1987 With estimated 30 million in USA wearing SCL, this would equate to approx 30 to 60 cases of AK per year.

Treatment of bacterial keratitis

Once it was easy! First line antibiotic therapy 1990's <u>NB only after obtaining microbiology specimens</u>

Fortified duo-therapy

Cephalosporin Aminoglycoside	e.g. cefuroxime 5.0% e.g. tobramycin 1.25 - 1.5%
Monotherapy	
Fluoroquinolone	e.g. ciprofoxacin/ofloxacin

Is there still a role for fortified duotherapy in 2008?

Cephalosporins – principally for Gram +ve bacteria

Fortified 5% solution for Rx of severe bacterial keratitis as <u>duotherapy Rx</u> No commercial ocular preparations – unpreserved – made up by hospital pharmacy

<u>Sensitivity</u>	<u> 1997-2000*</u>

–Staph Aureus	94%
-Staph (coag. neg.)	98%
-Strept. Pneumoniae	94%

Aminoglycosides – principally for Gram –ve bacteria

Tobramycin or gentamicin, as part of fortified duotherapy (1.2-1.5%) Active against spectrum Gram +ve and –ve bacteria, *including pseudomonas*

Sensitivity 1997-2000 Tobramycin*

-Pseudomonas aer.	94%
-Staph Aureus	83%
-Staph (coag. neg.)	78%
-Strept. Pneumoniae	48%

Fluoroquinolones as monotherapy in severe bacterial keratitis

Ciprofloxacin inately more potent but penetration of Ofloxacin is x4 greater than ciprofloxacin *Cekic O et al, Ophthal Surg 1999*

However, all gram positive bacteria equally susceptible to corneal concentrations obtained by ofloxacin & ciprofloxacin

Kowalski RP et al, Cornea 1998

Both drugs excellent against common gram +ve and gram –ve bacteria, less good against strept pneumonia & pseudomonas aeruginosa

Fluoroquinolones: 2nd gen. fluoroquinolones equivalence in MK

Efficacious against Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria, esp. staphylococci, Less effective against certain streptococci Variable effectiveness against pseudomonas Low toxicity and good ocular penetration

Risk of Perforation in keratitis (ofloxacin)

Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital, Melbourne 1991-1999 Retrospective review of 277 cases hospitalized for bacterial keratitis

Corneal perforation	
Fluroquinolone Rx	12.7% (18/142)
Fortified AB's Rx	0.7% (1/135)

"Fourth" generation fluoroquinolones

Second generation

Ciprofloxacin

- Ofloxacin
- Third generation
 - Levofloxacin

Fourth generation

- Gatifloxacin
- Moxifloxacin

USA trends in microbial keratitis and fluoroquinolone resistance 1990-00

Bascom Palmer Eye Institute (2920 cultures)

Fluoroquinolone resistance increased 11 to 28% Aminoglycoside resistance unchanged

Eye & Ear Institute Pittsburgh (1053 isolates)

Gram +ve decreased from 81.8% to 51.4% Staph Aureus fluoroquinolone resistance increased to ciprofloxacin 5.8% to 51.4%

Antibiotic resistance Fourth Generation fluoroquinolones

For most isolates no susceptibility difference between the *five* fluoroquinolones The MICs for 4th generation statistically lower for gram positive bacteria Fourth generation show greater susceptibility of Staph aureus resistant to 2nd and 3rd However, Ciprofloxacin demonstrated lowest MICs for gram negative bacteria

Fourth generation fluoroquinolones: mechanism of action

Bactericidal Inhibit two enzymes involved in Bacterial DNA Synthesis DNA Gyrase (topoisomerase I) Topoisomerase IV

Antibiotic resistance to fourth generation fluoroquinolones? Alteration in target enzymes:

DNA Gyrase & Topoisomerase IV Alteration in access to target: Expression of membrane efflux pumps

Resistance to 4th gen. fluoroquinolones

2 cases of keratitis post PRK/LASIK 6% of 100 isolates of Ps. aeruginosa

Efficacy of moxifloxacin in the treatment of bacterial keratitis: a randomised controlled trial, Melbourne, Australia

229 patients – 83% culture positive Randomised to: fortified tobramycin & cephazolin Or ofloxacin Or moxifloxacin

No statistical difference in groups in relation to time to cure, healing rate, or complications

Duo therapy or monotherapy ?

<u>Monotherapy</u>	Duotherapy
Small lesions	Large lesions
Peripheral lesions	Central Lesions
Previous Duotherapy	Previous FluorQ
Culture sensitivity	Culture sensitivity
Limited Compliance	Suspected
Patient cost	Pseudomonas**

Second line antibiotic therapy

Modify antibiotic regime based only upon cultures and sensitivities

Treat aggressively

Outcome & morbidity of severe keratitis in Western Australian tertiary centre Severe microbial keratitis (N=53)

70% < 20/200 on admission 39% < 20/200 latest review

Management of severe microbial keratitis in NZ tertiary referral centre (Auckland) Severe end of MK spectrum

49% central, 20% paracentral, 40% of eyes <20/200 Median lesion 2.4mm

Pathogens identified in 75% of 1^{st} and 50% 2^{nd} scrapes with 33% being polymicrobial

Staphylococcus – coagulase negative Propionibacterium acnes Staphylococcus epidermidis Streptococcus pneumoniae Staphylococcus aureus Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Management of severe microbial keratitis in NZ tertiary referral centre NB: <u>33% polymicrobial</u>

62% Rx fortified Kefzol & tobramycin 31% Rx ciprofloxacin Mean hospital stay 5.2 days (1-31 days) Median final BCVA 20/40, however, 33.8% had 20/100 or poorer vision at discharge

Brief Summary - Antibacterial Rx severe keratitis (RED FLAG)

- 1. Always attempt to identify organism by a corneal scrape and culture
- 2. Make a decision duo or mono Rx Site, severity, susceptibility, compliance
- 3. Minimise resistance High frequency no tapering of fluoroquinolones
- 4. Definitive management Always consider scrape/re-scrape to identify organisms
- 5. As a rule you should never use corticosteroids in infective keratitis

Acanthamoeba - a plague on both our houses

Acanthamoeba is a protozoan that causes a rare but devastating corneal infection 1973 first reported in keratitis 1980's profile raised with public and eye care professions, since contact lens related "epidemic" of *acanthamoeba* keratitis

Acanthamoeba is free-living amoeba

Relatively common in the environment. Has been isolated from water including: natural & treated water in pools/hot tubs drinking water systems - shower heads, taps sewage systems soil air in association with cooling towers, heating, ventilation and air conditioner systems

Opportunistic bacteria & fungal hunters

Most people will be exposed to *Acanthamoeba* during their lifetime Generally will not get sick as most species are bacteriovores Some are opportunists that can cause infections in humans & animals

Acanthamoeba: incidence, outcome and risk factors: England/Wales 97-99

106 cases, 88% CL wearers 1.1 & 1.3 / million adult population 17.5 & 21.4 / million CL wearers CL wearers: south vs north - x 9 risk Hard vs soft domestic H₂O - x 3 risk

Diverse presentation of acanthamoeba keratitis

Common **symptoms** include: Redness watering

Disproportionate pain Severe photophobia Foreign body sensation Decreased visual acuity

Common **signs** include: Punctate staining

epithelial defects stromal infiltrates ring infiltrate **radial keratoneuritis** decreased sensation

Clinical Presentation - Have a high index of suspicion

Careful history should raise suspicion Often a delay in making diagnosis, frequently mistaken for HSV keratitis Treatment aggressive & extensive – therefore must achieve microbiological diagnosis prior to Rx **Reduced time to diagnosis** Time to diagnosis 1985 - mean 180 days 1992 - mean 9.3 days 70-75% misdiagnosed HSV

9

Establishing the diagnosis 2

Diagnosis - culture and via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of corneal scrapings Useful to culture contact lenses/cases *in vivo* confocal microscopy has been utilized to detect the amoeba or *acanthamoeba* cysts

Treatment of acanthamoeba Successful treatment requires

Early diagnosis Aggressive medical & surgical therapies Medical treatment with topical antimicrobial agents As cyst form may be highly resistant a combination of drugs used Therapeutic agents Combination therapy incorporating 2 of the 3 following topical agents: **Chlorhexidine Propamidine Isethionate** [Brolene] **Polyhexamethylene biguanide** [PHMB]

Prognosis

Acanthamoeba keratitis has a good outcome if detected and treated <u>early</u> <u>Late diagnosis</u> has poor prognosis for vision & disastrous outcomes including: Ongoing infection, Permanently reduced vision Need for corneal transplantation.

Centres for Disease Control (CDC) Acanthamoeba update 2007

08/01/07 recall of AMO solution product COMPLETE MoisturePLUS Multi-purpose Contact Lens Solution Of 102 people with AK included in the preliminary analysis of June 2007

Corticosteroids & microbial keratitis

- 1. May mask presentation & delay appropriate management of severe keratitis, particularly acanthamoeba
- 2. Topical steroids shown to be a significant risk factor in severe keratitis requiring hospitalization

The evidence-based literature on corticosteroids & microbial keratitis

Experimental models suggest possible advantages

However, clinical studies show no significant effect!

Prior use of corticosteroids predisposes:

Eyes with corneal disease to MK	Odds Ratio 2.63
Eyes with MK to treatment failure	Odds Ratio 3.75

Using steroids wisely (and extremely rarely) - red flag

<u>As a general rule steroids should be avoided in microbial keratitis</u> Be certain of diagnosis – do not simply use because no response to Rx Always consider re-scrape Identify drug sensitivities *Only consider if infective agent identified and specificity of Rx confirmed and in conjunction with an ophthalmologist* Observe 3-4 days of definite response to anti-microbials Introduce as weak potency limited regimen - watch for corneal thinning

Poor prognostic features of microbial keratitis

Severe dry eye Neurotrophic cornea Central or paracentral location 3mm or greater diameter ulcer Fungal ulcer Prior use of steroids Late referral

Surgical interventions in severe microbial keratitis

Remove involved sutures Diagnostic biopsy Lid surgery Punctal occlusion Penetrating keratoplasty Evisceration/enucleation

Prevention of severe microbial keratitis

Appropriate contact lens care Avoidance of steroid missuse Management of epithelial trauma Patient education post surgery Routine corneal suture removal Intensive attempts to isolate organism Intensive early anti-microbial therapy